

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,438	07/31/2001	Shin Hiwasa	1214-011212	1754
75	90 01/29/2004		EXAMINER	
Russell D Orkin			LAVILLA, MICHAEL E	
700 Koppers Bu	ilding		C .pr.pur	D. DCD \ U.O. (DCD
436 Seventh Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818			1775	

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/890,438	HIWASA, SHIN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael La Villa	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ido will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	1 July 2001.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	his action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowing the condition is in condition for allowing the condition for allowing the condition is in condition for allowing the condition for all the conditions for a	•	• •	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 16-23 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a language as specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language of the foreign language of the first sentence of the	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not estic priority under 35 U.S.C. first sentence of the specific provisional application has b estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data seen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a special received.	olication) a Sheet. ecific
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/890,438

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 17, 18, and 21 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Regarding Claims 17 and 18, they do not appear to further define the structure or composition of the claimed polymerization initiator. Regarding Claim 21, it would appear that "diferrocenium derivative cation" is broader than the claimed structures of formula (I). Such derivatives would not necessarily possess the claimed R1 limitations. Furthermore, it is unclear how the various chloride containing cations that are described in the Markush group are comprised in the formula of Claim 16. The formula of Claim 16 does not appear to permit the presence of chloride containing cations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 3. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Regarding Claim 16, it is unclear whether the claimed substance is to obtain neutral overall charge or not. To be crystalline, one would expect overall

Application/Control Number: 09/890,438 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

neutrality, but the claimed substance does not demand overall neutrality. It would either be neutral or over negative one charge.

- Regarding Claim 17 and 18, it is unclear in what manner these claims further limit
 Claim 16 as they do not appear to refer to the claimed initiator structure or composition.
- 7. Regarding Claim 20, it is unclear whether this claim demands the presence of an electron donative or attractive substituent and/or bridging group or whether this claim merely specifies its identity in the circumstance that such group is present. It is unclear whether the claimed substance must be selected from one of the enumerated groups and be a bridging alkylene or whether the claimed substance must be either one of the enumerated groups or be a bridging alkylene.
- 8. Regarding Claim 21, it is unclear how the various chloride containing cations that are described in the Markush group are comprised in the formula of Claim 16.
 The formula of Claim 16 does not appear to permit the presence of chloride containing cations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- 10. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

11. (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Application/Control Number: 09/890,438

Art Unit: 1775

lines 27-50).

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2)

Page 4

of such treaty in the English language.

12. Claims 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shouzaki et al. USP 6,100,352. Shouzaki teaches a complex comprised of ferrocenium tetraphenylborate as well as other ferrocenium and borate based complexes, for the purpose of polymerization catalysis. See Shouzaki (col. 14,

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

(WILL

Michael La Villa January 25, 2004